

06/21/2002

CLERK OF THE COURT  
FORM V000A

HON. PAUL A KATZ

S. McDonald/B. Navarro  
Deputy

CV 2001-016817

FILED: \_\_\_\_\_

JOHN UDAVE

DENNIS MARTIN LAMBER

v.

TERRY ALLEN TEMPLE, et al.

JOHN A ELARDO

**PRETRIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE SET**

The Court has received Plaintiff's Motion to Set and Certificate of Readiness.

**IT IS ORDERED** setting a **Pretrial Scheduling Conference** for **July 22, 2002, at 4:00 p.m.**, in this division.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that **three (3) days** prior to the scheduling conference the parties shall submit to the Court a Joint Pretrial Memorandum setting forth the following:

1. The parties proposed schedule for additional discovery including depositions and all other discovery pursuant to the rules of procedure or as agreed upon by the parties. **NOTE:** The date for disclosure of non-expert witnesses must be at least forty-five days before the completion of discovery.
2. The parties' proposed schedule for disclosure of expert witnesses and

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

\*\*\* FILED \*\*\*  
06/27/2002

06/21/2002

CLERK OF THE COURT  
FORM V000A

HON. PAUL A KATZ

S. McDonald/B. Navarro  
Deputy

CV 2001-016817

designation pursuant to Rule 26(b)(4).  
**NOTE:** The disclosure should be within  
ninety days of the scheduling conference  
except upon a showing of good cause.

3. The parties' proposed schedule for any  
agreed upon amendments to the pleadings  
and any requested amendments to the  
pleadings.
4. The parties' proposed date for a  
settlement conference.
5. The parties' proposed date for trial.  
**NOTE:** The parties should come to the  
scheduling conference with the trial  
calendars of the attorneys responsible  
for trial.

If there are any discovery disputes, they must be filed with  
the Court by motion at least ten days prior to the scheduling  
conference. A response must be filed not less than three days  
prior to the conference. No reply will be filed. The Court will  
assess any appropriate sanction if the Court finds that any party  
or attorney engaged in unreasonable, groundless, abusive or  
obstructionist discovery.